0-5-05

| Introduced by: | Paul Barden |
|----------------|-------------|
| Proposed No. | 83-108 |

ORDINANCE NO. 6486

1 2

20 ·

29°

 AN ORDINANCE reversing the recommendation of the Zoning and Subdivision Examiner and approving a reclassification to RM 2400-P upon the application for reclassification from RS 7200 (Potential RM 2400) to RM 2400 petitioned by PHIL SIMMONS, designated File No. 214-83-R, adding and deleting findings and conclusions, and amending Condition 3.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. This Ordinance does hereby reverse the recommendation of the Zoning and Subdivision Examiner contained in the April 20, 1983 report, which was filed with the Clerk of the Council on May 5, 1983, to deny the reclassification from RS 7200 (Potential RM 2400) to RM 2400, petitioned by PHIL SIMMONS, designated by the Building and Land Development Division, Department of Planning and Community Development File No. 214-83-R.

SECTION 2. The King County Council does hereby approve the reclassification petitioned by PHIL SIMMONS to RM 2400-P, subject to the conditions recommended by the Building and Land Development Division as modified by Conclusion No. 5 below, and adopts as its own the findings and conclusions contained in the Examiner's April 20, 1983 report with the following deletions and additions:

Delete Findings Nos. 8 and 9 made by the Examiner, and add the following findings:

- 11. The Building and Land Development Division has further recommended that should this application be approved, reclassification should be to RM 2400-P, subject to the following conditions:
 - 1. A site plan pursuant to KCC 21.46.150-21.46.200.
 - 2. No access to South 104th Street shall be permitted.
- 3. The existing large trees on the site whall be retained and other landscaping shall be provided pursuant to the Highline Community Plan and KCC 21.51 (Landscaping Ordinance). A bond shall be provided to insure the installation and one years' survival and maintenance of said landscaping.
- 12. The subject property has adequate facilities and services available to serve the proposed use, and this reclassification is consistent with the King County Comprehensive Plan, Highline Communities Plan and

area zoning. 1 Delete Conclusions Nos. 2, 3 and 4 made by the Examiner, and add the 2 following conclusion: 3 5. If approved subject to the conditions recommended by the Building and Land Development Division, modified to provide that large trees on the site shall be retained to the maximum extent feasible, the proposed 6 reclassification will comply with the goals and objectives of the King County 7 Comprehensive Plan, other official policies and objectives for the growth 8 of King County, and will not be unreasonably incompatible with or detrimental to affected properties and the general public. This 10 reclassification is required for the public necessity, convenience and 11 general welfare. 12 INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this ______ day of 13 14 PASSED this 18t day of angust 15 KING COUNTY COUNCIL 16 KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON 17 18 19 20 ATTEST: 21 22 23 24 APPROVED this _____ day of DEEMED ENACTED WITHOUT 25 COUNTY EXECUTIVE'S SIGNATURE 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33